

Mountain Birdwatch 2004



© T. Brandt Ryder

FINAL REPORT TO THE UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
April 1, 2005
J. Daniel Lambert



Vermont Institute of Natural Science
2723 Church Hill Rd. Woodstock, VT 05091

ABSTRACT

Mountain Birdwatch is a long-term monitoring program for songbirds that breed in high-elevation forests of the Northeast. Since 2001, the Vermont Institute of Natural Science (VINS) has prepared skilled volunteers to conduct annual surveys along 1-km point count routes located in New York, Vermont, New Hampshire, and Maine. Primary emphasis is placed on Bicknell's Thrush, a montane fir specialist that breeds only in the northeastern U.S. and adjacent portions of Canada. Other focal species include Blackpoll Warbler, Swainson's Thrush, White-throated Sparrow, and Winter Wren. In 2004, Mountain Birdwatchers gathered observations from 131 locations, with point count surveys completed on 113 routes. Bicknell's Thrush occurred in lower numbers than in previous years and was detected by point count on just under half of the surveys. Counts of Winter Wren increased dramatically in 2004. For the first time, this species surpassed White-throated Sparrow and Blackpoll Warbler in measures of relative abundance and frequency of occurrence. Once the most common mountain birds, White-throated Sparrows and Blackpoll Warblers have steadily declined in the survey area since 2001. The Swainson's Thrush population remained stable, experiencing the least change over the four-year period. In addition to monitoring population levels, VINS and the Antioch New England Graduate School completed a manuscript that describes a Bicknell's Thrush distribution model constructed in GIS and validated with Mountain Birdwatch data. The article will be published in *The Wilson Bulletin* (117:1-11, 2005) and is included as an addendum to this report.

BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE

Bicknell's Thrush (*Catharus bicknelli*), once considered a subspecies of Gray-cheeked Thrush (*C. minimus*), was identified as a separate species in 1995 (American Ornithologists' Union 1995). Since then, it has been recognized as one of the most vulnerable passerines in eastern North America. Partners in Flight (PIF) identified Bicknell's Thrush as the highest conservation priority among neotropical-nearctic migrants in Northern New England (Hodgman and Rosenberg 2000) and the Eastern Spruce-Hardwood Forest (Rosenberg and Hodgman 2000). The PIF continental Watch List (Rich et al. 2004) places Bicknell's Thrush in the highest priority group due to multiple causes for concern across its entire range. The International Union for the Conservation of Nature classifies the songbird as vulnerable on its list of threatened species (BirdLife International 2000).

A number of factors contribute to the vulnerability of Bicknell's Thrush, including its limited breeding range. In the United States, Bicknell's Thrush breeds in montane fir forests of New York and northern New England (Atwood et al. 1996, Lambert et al. 2005) and is often associated with recently disturbed areas characterized by vigorous regrowth (Wallace 1939, Rimmer et al. 2001a). In southeastern Canada, it inhabits montane fir (Ouellet 1993), maritime spruce-fir (Erskine 1992), and regenerating mixed forest (Nixon et al. 2001). The species is similarly restricted in its wintering distribution, occurring primarily in wet, broadleaf forests of the Dominican Republic (Rimmer et al. 2001a). These forests have been reduced to less than 10% of their historic extent in the last 30 years (Stattersfield et al. 1998).

Loss of the Northeast's montane fir habitat may also threaten Bicknell's Thrush. Expansion of recreation areas, cell tower construction, and wind power development have received the most regulatory attention, as each can result in highly visible forest loss. Effects of airborne pollutants on Bicknell's Thrush are unclear, but potential threats include forest decline from acid deposition (Johnson et al. 1992) and heavy metal toxicity (Gawel et al. 1996), mercury poisoning by uptake in the food chain (Rimmer et al. 2005), and egg-laying irregularities associated with calcium

limitation, a possible consequence of acidified soils (Graveland et al. 1994). A study in the eastern United States suggests that acid deposition may have contributed to recent Wood Thrush declines by reducing the abundance and size of prey. The authors found that negative effects of acid rain on the predicted probability of breeding were greatest in high-elevation zones with low pH soils (Hames et al. 2002). Climate change represents yet another threat to the species. A warming climate is expected to cause incremental, but widespread changes in the composition and structure of mountain forests. Forest ecologists predict that balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*) will be substantially diminished, if not lost from the Northeast if atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ double, as expected within the next century (Iverson and Prasad 2002). A moderate increase in summer temperatures (3 °C) could enable upslope encroachment by temperature-limited hardwoods and reduce Bicknell's Thrush habitat by as much as 98% (Lambert and McFarland 2004).

Volunteers for the Vermont Institute of Natural Science's Forest Bird Monitoring Program surveyed 12 mountains from 1993 to 1999 in order to monitor changes in the status of Bicknell's Thrush and other high-elevation songbirds. In 2000, VINS piloted Mountain Birdwatch in Vermont on fifty additional routes, offering observers the option to concentrate on five species: Bicknell's Thrush, Swainson's Thrush (*Catharus ustulatus*), Blackpoll Warbler (*Dendroica striata*), White-throated Sparrow (*Zonotrichia albicollis*), and Winter Wren (*Troglodytes troglodytes*). The following year, we expanded the survey region to include over one hundred new routes in New York, New Hampshire, and Maine. Since 2000, we have assessed Mountain Birdwatch's power to detect population trends (Lambert et al. 2001); examined the influence of landscape structure on high-elevation bird communities (Lambert et al. 2002); measured habitat characteristics on 45 survey routes (Lambert 2003); and projected effects of climate change on Bicknell's Thrush distribution (Lambert and McFarland 2004). We have also identified key management units and conservation opportunities for Bicknell's Thrush (Lambert 2003).

During the 2004 breeding season, we monitored 113 routes and gathered observations of Bicknell's Thrush from 18 additional mountains. Over the winter, we completed a Bicknell's Thrush distribution model, which was validated with Mountain Birdwatch data gathered between 2000 and 2002. We present 2004 survey results in the body of this report and include the distribution model as an addendum.

METHODS

Volunteer engagement

We announced the opportunity to volunteer for Mountain Birdwatch on our web site (www.vinsweb.org/cbd/mtn_birdwatch.html) and in VINS publications. Cooperating conservation organizations publicized the project through electronic and print media. The Adirondack Mountain Club and the Wildlife Conservation Society sponsored a volunteer training session in Lake Placid that was attended by ten people. The Appalachian Mountain Club hosted a workshop for eight of its hut naturalists and a public presentation that attracted 20 members of the community. The Appalachian Trail Conference sponsored an event at Mount Blue State Park, in Maine. The Maine workshop drew just three individuals, but resulted in the adoption of three survey routes. In all, about 175 people participated in the survey in 2004, including companions of the primary route monitors. Mountain Birdwatchers received maps, survey instructions, an identification guide to high-elevation songbirds, and a training tape with an auditory identification quiz. A perfect score on the quiz was a prerequisite for participation. Repeat surveyors were encouraged to review the written and recorded material in order to maintain a high level of proficiency. The Mountain Birdwatch listserv

(<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MountainBirdwatch/>) and the Mountain Birdwatch newsletter, “Feathers and Fir” (http://www.vinsweb.org/assets/pdf/f_fv3_8x11.pdf), help inform, coordinate, and engage volunteers.


Site selection, route placement and coverage

Site selection was based on a GIS model of potential Bicknell’s Thrush habitat that incorporates elevation, latitude, and forest type (Lambert et al. 2005). The model depicts conifer-dominated forests above an elevation threshold that drops 81.63 m for every one-degree increase in latitude (-81.63 m/1° latitude). The threshold’s slope corresponds closely with the latitude-elevation relationship for treeline in the Appalachian Mountain chain, which is -83 m/1° latitude (Cogbill and White 1991). Four routes have been established on peaks lying below the elevation threshold. Forty routes cross the threshold, due to the limited availability of trails or land area above the threshold. We made an attempt to randomize site selection by randomly assigning priority ranks to discrete units of high-elevation habitat. However, the choice of sites was constrained by the availability of volunteers and the location of existing trails.

When placing routes, we favored discrete starting points (e.g. trail junction), extensive conifer stands, and upper elevations. Volunteers establishing a route for the first time placed five points at 200- to 250-m intervals along a mapped course. Monitors submitted a detailed description of each station in order to facilitate its location in future years.

In 2004, Mountain Birdwatchers completed 113 surveys in New York (34), Vermont (40), New Hampshire (21), Maine (17), and Massachusetts (1). Forty-four routes (38.9%) were surveyed for all species, while 69 routes (61.1%) were monitored for the five focal species only. We gathered Bicknell’s Thrush observations from 18 additional mountains, including three where point count surveys are typically performed. The number of routes surveyed in 2004 was equal to the number monitored in 2001, but was eight fewer than the high of 121, the level achieved in 2003. The number of additional reports of Bicknell’s thrush has declined with each survey year (Fig. 1).

Figure 1. Mountain Birdwatch survey effort 2001-2004.



Survey Methods

Surveys were conducted under acceptable weather conditions (no precipitation, temperature >2 °C, wind speed <32 km/h) from 1 to 21 June. Surveys were conducted between 04:00 and 08:00 EDT, usually between 04:30 and 06:30 EDT. Observers listened quietly for ten minutes at each of five stations.¹ They recorded the number of each focal species seen or heard during three time periods: 0-3 minutes, 3-5 minutes, and 5-10 minutes. If Bicknell's Thrush was not detected during or between point counts, surveyors returned to each point and broadcast a one-minute recording of the bird's vocalizations, followed by a two-minute listening period. Prior to 2003, the broadcast duration was three minutes. We used audioplaybacks to elicit responses from present, but silent birds. Audioplaybacks were discontinued upon detection of one or more individuals. If no Bicknell's Thrushes responded to the broadcasts, the status of the species was classified as unknown. Monitors who completed their surveys without encountering Bicknell's Thrush were asked to conduct follow-up, audioplayback surveys at dusk or dawn before 15 July (after Atwood et al. 1996). In some cases, VINS staff substituted for volunteers who were unable to complete follow-up surveys. If no observations of Bicknell's Thrush were made during the second visit, the species was presumed to be absent from that site.

Data analysis: avian distribution and abundance

To include data from as many routes as possible, we subsampled records of the five focal species from the first five minutes of each ten-minute count. Where two point count series were conducted, we used results from the first survey only. We measured frequency of occurrence and relative abundance for each of the focal species. To calculate frequency of occurrence, we divided the number of routes on which a species was detected during point counts (first five minutes only) by the total number of routes surveyed. For Bicknell's Thrush, we also calculated the proportion of survey routes on which the species was detected by any means (10-minute point count, chance, playback, or follow-up).

For between-year comparisons, we calculated the average number of individuals per point on a route by route basis. This correction was necessary because close to 30% of the routes surveyed in 2001 contained fewer than five stations (mean = 2.87 stations). These routes were extended below the original elevation threshold in 2002 to meet the 5-point standard. For each focal species, we averaged per-point values across routes to produce an overall index of relative abundance for 2001 through 2004. We did the same for the subset of routes that have been surveyed in each of the four years (n = 47). If a species' numbers showed consistent direction and magnitude of change on the subsampled routes, we performed a simple linear regression in Systat 10.2 (Systat Software, Inc., Point Richmond, CA) to estimate a short-term population trend. The following results are best suited for quantifying changes in avian occurrence and abundance over time. We advise caution in comparing these measures among species, due to interspecific differences in detectability.

RESULTS

Bicknell's Thrush occurred in lower numbers than in previous years (Figs 2 & 3) and was detected by point count on just under half of the survey routes (Table 1). Chance observations and use of audioplaybacks confirmed the species' presence on 83 of 99 adequately surveyed

¹ In 2003, we increased the 5-species point count length from five to ten minutes in order to gather more information and to achieve methodological consistency with the all-species protocols and with Canada's High-Elevation Landbird Program.

routes (83.8%). Counts of Winter Wren increased by nearly 50% in 2004. For the first time, this species surpassed White-throated Sparrow and Blackpoll Warbler in measures of relative abundance and frequency of occurrence. Once the most common mountain birds, White-throated Sparrows and Blackpoll Warblers have steadily declined in the survey area since 2001. The Swainson's Thrush population experienced the least change over the four-year period.

Figure 2. Relative abundance of focal species in 2001 (n = 113 survey routes), 2002 (n = 120), 2003 (n = 121), and 2004 (n = 113).






Figure 3. Relative abundance of focal species on 47 routes surveyed each year, 2001-2004.



On the 47 routes surveyed in each year, three species experienced steady and parallel declines. This group included the most common species, White-throated Sparrow and Blackpoll Warbler, and the rarest species, Bicknell's Thrush. Estimates of annual decline, based on linear regression performed at the aggregate (vs. route) level, were -9.0% for Bicknell's Thrush ($t = 3.703$, $P = 0.066$, $R^2 = 0.873$), -8.7% for Blackpoll Warbler ($t = 22.136$, $P = 0.002$, $R^2 = 0.996$), and -9.9% for White-throated Sparrow ($t = 3.822$, $P = 0.062$, $R^2 = 0.880$).

Figure 3. Declines of three focal species on 47 survey routes monitored each year, 2001-2004.




During the four years of regional monitoring, frequency of occurrence has fluctuated by as little as 0.03 (Blackpoll Warbler) and as much as 0.22 (Winter Wren) (Table 1). The magnitude of change has been the lowest for the two species most strongly linked to montane fir forests in the Northeast, Bicknell's Thrush and Blackpoll Warbler. When all methods of detection were included in the calculation, the proportion of survey routes with confirmations of Bicknell's Thrush averaged 0.83 over the four years ($SE = 0.01$, range = 0.80-0.86). The species with more generalized habitat associations experienced pronounced changes in occurrence frequency. For example, the prevalence of Winter Wren fluctuated widely, from moderate values (0.72-0.85) in odd years to high values (0.91-0.94) in even years.

Table 1. Occurrence frequency of focal species, 2001-2004, based on five-minute point counts.

| Year | Bicknell's Thrush | | Blackpoll Warbler | | Swainson's Thrush | | White-throated Sparrow | | Winter Wren | |
|------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| | All routes | 47 routes | All routes | 47 routes | All routes | 47 routes | All routes | 47 routes | All routes | 47 routes |
| 2001 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.71 | 0.79 | 0.91 | 0.96 | 0.73 | 0.72 |
| 2002 | 0.51 | 0.47 | 0.88 | 0.91 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.94 |
| 2003 | 0.50 | 0.45 | 0.91 | 0.89 | 0.76 | 0.77 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.80 | 0.85 |
| 2004 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.88 | 0.91 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.91 | 0.94 |

Figure 4. Bicknell's Thrush occurrence map, 2004; includes 15 off-route observations.



DISCUSSION

Bird population levels change in response to a wide variety of natural and anthropogenic factors (Askins et al. 1990). Often, data gathered over brief periods belie long-term trends (Holmes and Sherry 2001). As a result, it is difficult to interpret short-term results with accuracy. Reaching meaningful conclusions may require many years of continuous effort and a thorough assessment of factors that influence bird populations, such as prey abundance, habitat change, climate, and conditions on the wintering grounds. Nonetheless, discrete, short-term trends warrant some consideration, especially as they relate to findings from other studies.

Mountain Birdwatch results show Bicknell's Thrush, White-throated Sparrow, and Blackpoll Warbler in consistent short-term decline. These trends are especially pronounced on the 47 routes that have been surveyed in all four years. The annual decline of Bicknell's Thrush (-9.0%) echoes a previous trend estimate for this species in the White Mountain National Forest. Using a route-regression technique on data gathered from 1993 to 2000, Rimmer et al. (2001b) estimated the annual population trend in the White Mountains at -8.3% ($p=0.063$). If it continues, a decline of this magnitude could halve the global population of Bicknell's Thrush in less than a decade (based on the Rich et al. 2004 estimate of 40,000 birds).

The North American Breeding Bird Survey has tracked the decline of White-throated Sparrows in the Northeast since the 1960s. In U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Region 5, which encompasses the Mountain Birdwatch survey area, White-throat numbers dropped by an average of 1.97% per year between 1966 and 2003 ($n = 214$ routes, $p < 0.01$). The sharpest decrease occurred in Partners In Flight Physiographic Area 27 (Northern New England), where the annual trend is estimated at -4.2% ($n = 54$, $p < 0.01$) (Sauer et al. 2004). The regional decline of White-throated Sparrows was most pronounced between 1966 and 1979, moderating somewhat

in recent years. This two-stage trend may indicate a population response to the widespread conversion of overgrown fields to woodland and the subsequent aging of the region's forests during the survey period. White-throat densities are typically highest in young stands (Hagan et al. 1997) that provide shrubby cover for nesting. The rate of short-term decline at high elevations (-9.9%) is greater than that occurring in the surrounding landscape, even though mountain forests have experienced little change in four years. Do mountain-dwelling White-throats face higher risks than their low- and mid-elevation conspecifics? Do high-elevation forests constitute a sink for this species? Will the observed decline reverse itself in future years? These questions warrant further examination.

The decline in Blackpoll Warblers (-8.7% per year) stands in contrast to findings of the High Elevation Landbird Program. Mountain Birdwatch's sister project, carried out in New Brunswick and Nova Scotia by Bird Studies Canada, recorded large increases in Blackpoll Warbler abundance in 2004 (Campbell and Whittam 2005). Persistence of these opposing trends over several years could result in a northern shift in the Blackpoll Warbler's breeding distribution. Ranges of several North American and European songbirds have shifted north in recent decades, a phenomenon attributed to climate change (Johnson 1994, Thomas and Lennon 1999).

A dramatic increase in Winter Wren numbers, combined with stable counts of Swainson's Thrush, helped offset declines observed in other species. One of Mountain Birdwatch's long-term goals is to determine whether a warming climate facilitates encroachment of these midslope species on upper-elevation specialists, such as Bicknell's Thrush and Blackpoll Warbler. Results from 2004 are inconclusive, but consistent with the altitudinal shift hypothesis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the scores of volunteers who participate in Mountain Birdwatch. This dedicated group was recruited with assistance from the Adirondack Mountain Club, the Appalachian Mountain Club, the Appalachian Trail Conference, Audubon New York, the Audubon Society of New Hampshire, the Green Mountain Club, the Maine Audubon Society, the Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, the Olive Natural Heritage Society, the Wildlife Conservation Society, and the Wonalancet Outdoor Club. The Adirondack Mountain Club, the Wildlife Conservation Society, the Appalachian Mountain Club, and the Appalachian Trail Conference offered extra support as sponsors of training workshop. Thanks to Leslie Karasin, Jen Kretser, Brian McAllister, Nancy Ritger, and Val Stori for making these workshops possible. We are grateful for permission to conduct surveys on lands owned and/or managed by: the American Ski Corporation, the Carthusian Monastery, Essex Timber Company, LLC, the Green Mountain Club, the Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, the National Park Service, the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, the U.S. Forest Service, and the Vermont Agency of Natural Resources. We thank volunteer Alyssa MacLeod and intern Elizabeth Donick for their contributions to the project over the past year. Alyssa entered field data and Elizabeth researched and wrote for "Feathers and Fir". Mountain Birdwatch is funded by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service through a cooperative agreement administered by Assistant Nongame Bird Coordinator and Mountain Birdwatcher, Randy Dettmers. We also receive generous support from the Stonehouse Farm Fund of the Upper Valley Community Foundation. We extend special thanks to those volunteers who donated both time and money in 2004: Steve and Sunita Halasz, Joan Harlowe, Kim Kendall, David Mack, and Stan McCumber.

LITERATURE CITED

- American Ornithologists' Union. 1995. Fortieth supplement to the American Ornithologists' Union check-list of North American birds. *Auk* 112:819-830.
- Askins, R. A., J. F. Lynch, and R. Greenberg. 1990. Population declines in migratory birds in eastern North America. *Current Ornithology* 7:1-57.
- Atwood, J. A., C. C. Rimmer, K. P. McFarland, S. H. Tsai, and L. N. Nagy. 1996. Distribution of Bicknell's Thrush in New England and New York. *Wilson Bulletin* 108:650-661.
- BirdLife International. 2000. Threatened birds of the world. Lynx editions and BirdLife International, Barcelona and Cambridge, UK.
- Campbell, G., and B. Whittam. 2005. High Elevation Landbird Program (HELP) 2004 Report. Unpubl. report, Bird Studies Canada, Sackville, NB.
- Cogbill, C. V. and P. S. White. 1991. The latitude-elevation relationship for spruce-fir forest and treeline along the Appalachian mountain chain. *Vegetatio* 94: 153-175.
- Erskine, A. J. 1992. Atlas of breeding birds of the Maritime Provinces. Nimbus Publishing Ltd. and Nova Scotia Museum, Halifax.
- Gawel, J. E., B. A. Ahner, A. J. Friedland, and F. M. M. Morel. 1996. Role for heavy metals in forest decline indicated by phytochelatin measurements. *Nature* 381:64-65.
- Graveland, J., R. van der Wal, J. H. van Balen, and A. J. van Noordwijk. 1994. Poor reproduction in forest passerines from decline of snail abundance on acidified soils. *Nature* 368:446-448.
- Hagan, J. M., P. S. McKinley, A. L. Meehan, S. L. Grove. 1997. Diversity and abundance of landbirds in a northeastern industrial forest. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 61:718-735.
- Hames, R. S., K. V. Rosenberg, J. D. Lowe, S. E. Barker, and A. A. Dhondt. 2002. Adverse effects of acid rain on the distribution of Wood Thrush (*Hylocichla mustelina*) in North America. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99:11235-11240.
- Hodgman, T. P., and K. V. Rosenberg. 2000. Partners In Flight Bird Conservation Plan for Northern New England. American Bird Conservancy, The Plains, VA.
- Holmes, R. T. and T. W. Sherry. 2001. Thirty-year bird population trends in an unfragmented temperate deciduous forest: importance of habitat change. *Auk* 118:589-609.
- Iverson, L. R. and A. M. Prasad. 2002. Potential redistribution of tree species habitat under five climate change scenarios in the eastern US. *Forest Ecology and Management* 155:205-222.
- Johnson, A. H., S. B. McLaughlin, M. B. Adams, E. R. Cook, D. H. DeHayes et al. 1992. Synthesis and conclusions from epidemiological and mechanistic studies of red spruce decline. Pp. 387-411 in *The ecology and decline of red spruce in the eastern United States* (C. Eager and M. B. Adama, eds.). Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Johnson, J. R., Jr. 1994. *A Century of Avifaunal Change in Western North America* (Studies in Avian Biology Volume 15, J. R. Jehl and N. K. Johnson, eds.). Cooper Ornithological Society, Lawrence, KS.
- Lambert, J. D. 2003. Mountain Birdwatch 2002: Final Report to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Unpubl. report. Vermont Institute of Natural Science, Woodstock, VT.

- Lambert, J. D., S. D. Faccio, and B. Hanscom. 2002. Mountain Birdwatch 2001: Final Report to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Unpubl. report. Vermont Institute of Natural Science, Woodstock, VT.
- Lambert, J. D., and K. P. McFarland. 2004. Projecting effects of climate change on Bicknell's Thrush habitat in the northeastern United States. Unpublished report by the Vermont Institute of Natural Science, Woodstock.
- Lambert, J. D., K. P. McFarland, C. C. Rimmer, and S. D. Faccio. 2001. Mountain Birdwatch 2000: Final Report to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Unpubl. report. Vermont Institute of Natural Science, Woodstock, VT.
- Lambert, J. D., K. P. McFarland, C. C. Rimmer, S. D. Faccio, and J. L. Atwood. 2005. A practical model of Bicknell's Thrush distribution in the northeastern United States. *Wilson Bulletin* 117:1-11.
- Nixon, E. A., S. B. Holmes, and A. W. Diamond. 2001. Bicknell's Thrushes (*Catharus bicknelli*) in New Brunswick clear cuts: their habitat associations and co-occurrence with Swainson's Thrushes (*Catharus ustulatus*). *Wilson Bull.* 113:33-40.
- Ouellet, H. 1993. Bicknell's Thrush: taxonomic status and distribution. *Wilson Bulletin* 105:545-572.
- Rich, T. D., C. J. Beardmore, H. Berlanga, P. J. Blancher, M. S. W. Bradstreet, G. S. Butcher, D. W. Demarest, E. H. Dunn, W. C. Hunter, E. E. Inigo-Elias, J. A. Kennedy, A. M. Martell, A. O. Panjabi, D. N. Pashley, K. V. Rosenberg, C. M. Rustay, J. S. Wendt, T. C. Will. 2004. Partners in Flight North American Landbird Conservation Plan. Cornell Lab of Ornithology. Ithaca, New York.
- Rimmer, C. C., K. P. McFarland, W. G. Ellison, and J. E. Goetz. 2001a. Bicknell's Thrush (*Catharus bicknelli*). In *The birds of North America*, No. 592 (A. Poole and F. Gill, eds.). The Birds of North America, Inc., Philadelphia, PA.
- Rimmer, C. C., K. P. McFarland, D. C. Evers, E. K. Miller, Y. Aubry, D. Busby, and R. J. Taylor. 2005. Mercury levels in Bicknell's Thrush and other insectivorous passerines in montane forests of northeastern North America. *Ecotoxicology* 14:223-240.
- Rimmer, C. C., K. P. McFarland, and J. D. Lambert. 2001b. Bicknell's Thrush (*Catharus bicknelli*) conservation assessment. Unpubl. report. Vermont Institute of Natural Science, Woodstock, VT.
- Rosenberg, K. V. and T. P. Hodgman. 2000. Partners In Flight Bird Conservation Plan for Eastern Spruce-Hardwood Forest. American Bird Conservancy, The Plains, VA.
- Stattersfield, A. J., M. J. Crosby, A. D. Long, and D. C. Wege. 1998. Endemic bird areas of the world: priorities for biodiversity conservation. BirdLife International, Cambridge, UK.
- Thomas, C. D., and J. J. Lennon. 1999. Birds extend their ranges northwards. *Nature* 399:213.
- Wallace, G. J. 1939. Bicknell's Thrush, its taxonomy, distribution, and life history. *Proceedings of the Boston Society of Natural History* 41:211-402.

APPENDIX 1. *Off-route observations of Bicknell's Thrush made in 2004.*

| State | Mountain | # of BITH |
|-------|---------------------|-----------|
| NH | Jefferson Notch | 1 |
| NH | Mount Eisenhower | 1 |
| NH | Mount Flume | 2 |
| NH | Mount Jim | 3 |
| NH | Mount Liberty | 3 |
| NH | Mount Pierce | 1 |
| NH | Mount Washington | 5 |
| NY | Algonquin Peak | 1 |
| NY | Blake Peak | 1 |
| NY | Donaldson Mountain | 2 |
| NY | Macomb Mountain | 3 |
| NY | Rocky Peak Ridge | 1 |
| NY | South Dix | 1 |
| VT | Battell Mountain | 1 |
| VT | Burnt Rock Mountain | 1 |

APPENDIX 2. 2004 Mountain Birdwatch results summarized by route.

| State | Mountain | BITH status* | # of BITH | # of BLPW | # of SWTH | # of WTSP | # of WIWR |
|-------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| MA | Mount Greylock | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| ME | Avery Peak | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 12 | 6 |
| ME | Baldpate Mountain | 1 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 7 | 7 |
| ME | Big Spencer Mountain | 1 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| ME | Big Squaw Mountain | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 10 |
| ME | Cranberry Peak | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| ME | Little Bigelow Mountain | 1 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| ME | Little Jackson Mountain | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 |
| ME | Mount Abraham | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| ME | Mount Blue | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| ME | Mount Katahdin | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| ME | North Traveler Mountain | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 1 |
| ME | Old Blue Mountain | 1 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| ME | Old Speck Mountain | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 4 |
| ME | Spaulding Mountain | 4 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| ME | Surplus Mountain | 4 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| ME | West Kennebec Mountain | 3 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 5 |
| ME | White Cap Mountain | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| NH | Crescent Ridge | 5 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 3 |
| NH | Kinsman Mountain (North Peak) | 2 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| NH | Mount Blue | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 3 |
| NH | Mount Chocorua | 5 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| NH | Mount Clay | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 |
| NH | Mount Crawford | 2 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 10 | 5 |
| NH | Mount Cube | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 5 |
| NH | Mount Eastman | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| NH | Mount Lafayette | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 1 |
| NH | Mount Madison | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 3 |
| NH | Mount Martha | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| NH | Mount Moosilauke - South Peak | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| NH | Mount Passaconaway | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 |
| NH | Mount Pierce | 1 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| NH | Mount Starr King | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 0 |
| NH | Mount Tecumseh | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| NH | Mount Wolf | 1 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 7 |
| NH | North Baldface | 2 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 |
| NH | Smarts Mountain | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| NH | Stairs Mountain | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| NH | Sugarloaf | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| NY | Ampersand Mountain | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 4 |
| NY | Big Crow Mountain | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| NY | Big Slide Mountain | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 3 |
| NY | Blue Mountain | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| NY | Cornell Mountain | 2 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 8 |

| State | Mountain | BITH status* | # of BITH | # of BLPW | # of SWTH | # of WTSP | # of WIWR |
|-------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| NY | Debar Mountain | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| NY | Eagle Mountain | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| NY | East Dix | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| NY | Esther Mountain | 1 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 4 |
| NY | Giant Mountain | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| NY | Gore Mountain | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 4 |
| NY | Hopkins Mountain | 5 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| NY | Hunter Mountain | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| NY | Hurricane Mountain | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| NY | Kempshall Mountain | 5 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| NY | Little Whiteface Mountain | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 1 |
| NY | McKenzie Mountain | 2 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 4 |
| NY | Mount Adams | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| NY | Mount Colden | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| NY | Mount Marshall | 1 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 10 |
| NY | Noonmark Mountain | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| NY | Pillsbury Mountain | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| NY | Pitchoff Mountain | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| NY | Plateau Mountain | 1 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| NY | Porter Mountain | 1 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 20 | 4 |
| NY | Santanoni Pk (incomplete survey) | 2 | na | na | na | na | na |
| NY | Slide Mountain | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 7 |
| NY | Snowy Mountain | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| NY | Sugarloaf Mountain | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| NY | Sunrise Mountain (5-min count) | 2 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| NY | Twin Mountain | 1 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| NY | Vanderwhacker Mountain | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| NY | Wakely Mountain | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| NY | West Kill Mountain | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| NY | Whiteface Mtn (incomplete survey) | 2 | na | na | na | na | na |
| NY | Wright Peak | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 5 |
| VT | Bald Mountain | 2 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 6 |
| VT | Bear Head | 1 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 9 |
| VT | Belvidere Mountain | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 1 |
| VT | Bloodroot Mountain | 1 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 8 |
| VT | Bromley Mountain | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| VT | Buchanan Mountain | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| VT | Burke Mountain | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| VT | Cape Lookoff Mountain | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| VT | Deerlick Mtn (incomplete survey) | 2 | na | na | na | na | na |
| VT | Domey's Dome | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 11 | 5 |
| VT | East Mountain | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| VT | Gillespie Peak | 4 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| VT | Gilpin Mountain | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| VT | Glastenbury Mtn (5-min count) | 1 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 1 |
| VT | Gore Mountain | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 6 |

| State | Mountain | BITH status* | # of BITH | # of BLPW | # of SWTH | # of WTSP | # of WIWR |
|-------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| VT | Haystack Mountain (North) | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 13 |
| VT | Haystack Mountain (South) | 2 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| VT | Killington Peak | 1 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 5 |
| VT | Laraway Mountain | 5 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| VT | Madonna Peak (5-min count) | 1 | 5 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 7 |
| VT | Molly Stark Mountain | 5 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| VT | Monadnock Mtn (5-min count) | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| VT | Morse Mountain | 2 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 12 | 10 |
| VT | Mount Abraham | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 10 | 1 |
| VT | Mount Ascutney (5-min count) | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| VT | Mount Ellen | 1 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| VT | Mount Equinox | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| VT | Mount Grant | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| VT | Mount Hunger | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| VT | Mount Ira Allen | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 10 |
| VT | Mount Mansfield | 1 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 6 |
| VT | Mount Mayo | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| VT | Mount Snow | 2 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 4 |
| VT | North Glastenbury | 1 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 4 |
| VT | Ricker Mountain | 2 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| VT | Shrewsbury Peak | 1 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 10 | 8 |
| VT | Spruce Mountain | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| VT | Stark Mountain | 4 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 9 | 4 |
| VT | Stratton Mountain | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| VT | Tillotson Peak | 1 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 8 |
| VT | Worth Mountain | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 |

* Key to BITH status

1 = present, detected by point count

2 = present, detected by chance, playbacks, or on follow-up survey

3 = not detected during point counts, no playbacks or follow-up

4 = not detected during point counts or playbacks, no follow-up

5 = presumed absent, not detected by point count, playback, or follow-up

APPENDIX 3. *Organizations that requested and received Mountain Birdwatch data in 2004.*

Appalachian Mountain Club
Appalachian Trail Conference
Audubon New York
Maine Audubon
Maine Natural Areas Program
National Park Service
New Hampshire Fish and Game Department
New York Breeding Bird Atlas
New York Department of Environmental
Conservation
Northern Appalachian Restoration Project
The Nature Conservancy, Maine Chapter
The Nature Conservancy, New York Chapter
U.S. Forest Service
VERA Wind Energy Consulting
Vermont Breeding Bird Atlas
Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department

THE WILSON BULLETIN

A QUARTERLY JOURNAL OF ORNITHOLOGY

Published by the Wilson Ornithological Society

VOL. 117, NO. 1

March 2005

PAGES 1–111

Wilson Bulletin 117(1):1–11, 2005

A PRACTICAL MODEL OF BICKNELL'S THRUSH DISTRIBUTION IN THE NORTHEASTERN UNITED STATES

J. DANIEL LAMBERT,^{1,3} KENT P. MCFARLAND,¹ CHRISTOPHER C. RIMMER,¹
STEVEN D. FACCIO,¹ AND JONATHAN L. ATWOOD²

ABSTRACT.—Bicknell's Thrush (*Catharus bicknelli*) is a rare habitat specialist that breeds in dense balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*) and red spruce (*Picea rubens*) forests at high elevations in the northeastern United States. Ongoing and projected loss of this forest type has led to increased demand for information on the species' status throughout the region. We used elevation, latitude, and forest type to construct a model of Bicknell's Thrush distribution in New York, Vermont, New Hampshire, and Maine. The model predicts the species to be present in conifer-dominated forests above an elevation threshold that descends with increasing latitude. The slope of the threshold (-81.63 m/1° latitude) reflects climatic effects on forest composition and structure. The distribution model encompasses 136,250 ha of montane forest, including extensive areas of the White Mountains in New Hampshire and Adirondack Mountains in New York. To test model performance, we conducted point count and playback surveys along 1-km routes established in conifer forests above and below the threshold. The model accurately predicted the presence or presumed absence of Bicknell's Thrush on 61 of 72 routes (84.7%). When areas within 50 vertical m of the threshold were excluded, accuracy improved to 98.1%. The distribution model is a practical tool for conservation planning at local and regional levels. Potential applications include projecting effects of climate change on Bicknell's Thrush distribution, assessing risks of habitat alteration, and setting priorities for conservation and management. Received 9 February 2004, accepted 20 December 2004.

Bicknell's Thrush (*Catharus bicknelli*), once considered a subspecies of Gray-cheeked Thrush (*C. minimus*), gained full species status in 1995 (American Ornithologists' Union 1995). It has since been considered one of the most "at-risk" passerines in eastern North America. Partners in Flight (Pashley et al. 2000) ranks Bicknell's Thrush as the top conservation priority among Neotropical migrants in the Northeast, while the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (BirdLife International 2000) classifies the species as "vulnerable" on its list of threatened species.

Although there is no conclusive evidence of widespread population declines, reports of regional declines (Rompré et al. 1999, Rimmer et al. 2001b) and local extinctions (Christie 1993, Atwood et al. 1996, Nixon 1999, Lambert et al. 2001) have elevated concern for this rare species.

Bicknell's Thrush is a habitat specialist that occupies a naturally fragmented breeding range from the Catskill Mountains of New York to the Gulf of St. Lawrence and Cape Breton Island, Nova Scotia (Atwood et al. 1996, Rimmer et al. 2001a). It is the region's only endemic bird species. In New York, northern New England, and the nearby Estrie region of Québec, Bicknell's Thrush inhabits montane forests dominated by balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*), with lesser amounts of spruce (*Picea rubens* and *P. mariana*), white birch (*Betula papyrifera* var. *cordifolia*), and

¹ Vermont Inst. of Natural Science, 2723 Church Hill Rd., Woodstock, VT 05091, USA.

² Antioch New England Graduate School, 40 Avon St., Keene, NH 03431–3552, USA.

³ Corresponding author; e-mail: dlambert@vinsweb.org

mountain ash (*Sorbus americana* and *S. decora*) (Atwood et al. 1996, Rimmer et al. 2001a, Connolly et al. 2002). Structural attributes of Bicknell's Thrush habitat include a dense understory of softwoods (Sabo 1980, Hale 2001, Pierce-Berrin 2001), low canopy height (Sabo 1980, Noon 1981, Hale 2001), and high incidence of snags, stumps, and dead fallen trees (Connolly 2000). These features typify chronically disturbed sites and regenerating fir waves (Sprugel 1976). Favorable habitat conditions for Bicknell's Thrush also may arise following disturbance by hurricane, ice storm, debris avalanche (Reiners and Lang 1979), or logging (Connolly 2000). Habitat suitability generally decreases with greater prominence of hardwoods (Sabo 1980, Noon 1981, Atwood et al. 1996, Hale 2001, Connolly et al. 2002); however, in the spruce-fir highlands of New Brunswick, Bicknell's Thrush inhabits both young conifer stands and regenerating hardwoods (Nixon 1996, Nixon et al. 2001).

Bicknell's Thrush also occurs in maritime spruce-fir forests at sites scattered along both shores of the St. Lawrence Seaway (Gauthier and Aubry 1996) and throughout the Gulf of St. Lawrence (Nixon 1999). Locations in the Gulf include the western tip of Anticosti Island, the Magdalen Islands (Gauthier and Aubry 1996), Cape Breton Island and small islands offshore of Cape Breton (Erskine 1992; D. Busby pers. comm.). Historic or sporadic records exist for several additional locations around the Bay of Fundy (Erskine 1992, Christie 1993).

In the northeastern United States, climate change could greatly reduce or eliminate balsam fir habitat as growing conditions become more favorable for hardwood species (Iverson and Prasad 2002). Over the long term, a shift in forest composition may impair the viability of Bicknell's Thrush populations in the region. Meanwhile, ski area expansion, communications tower construction, and wind power development incrementally reduce and fragment montane fir forests with unknown consequences for Bicknell's Thrush (Rimmer et al. 2001a). In order to conserve and properly manage remaining Bicknell's Thrush habitat, natural resource managers require reliable, site-specific occurrence information. Because it is not feasible to survey all potential habi-

tats, a predictive habitat map is required for effective conservation planning.

Wildlife habitat maps enable natural resource managers to identify suitable habitat and predict effects of management alternatives. When constructed in a geographic information systems (GIS) environment, such maps can be produced efficiently and applied consistently over large areas; however, the value of a GIS habitat model depends on its predictive capability. Therefore, model validation is a critical step in the habitat mapping process. Validation procedures yield measures of model performance that provide a basis for determining appropriate applications to research and management. An accurate GIS model is a flexible tool that focuses limited resources where they will have the greatest effect.

In a previous study, Atwood et al. (1996) identified forest type, latitude, and elevation as important factors underlying the distribution of Bicknell's Thrush in New England and New York. The goal of our study was to construct and test a predictive distribution model that incorporates forest type and accounts for the effect of latitude on the elevational occurrence of Bicknell's Thrush.

METHODS

To investigate the effect of latitude on the elevational occurrence of Bicknell's Thrush, we examined records from distribution surveys of Bicknell's Thrush conducted between 1992 and 1995. In these surveys, Atwood et al. (1996) surveyed 443 locations across a wide range of elevations (0 to 1,451 m) in New York, Vermont, New Hampshire, and Maine. We plotted the elevation and latitude of each survey location, including those where Bicknell's Thrush was detected ($n = 234$) and was not detected ($n = 209$). If multiple individuals were observed during a survey, we plotted the lowest-elevation encounter. If no individuals were observed during a survey that spanned a range of elevations, we plotted the highest point surveyed.

Next, we used the Quantreg library in R (<http://lib.stat.cmu.edu/R/CRAN/>) to estimate the 0.05 quantile regression (Cade and Noon 2003) of elevation as a linear function of latitude for locations where Bicknell's Thrush was observed. This produced an elevation

threshold above which 95% of the detections occurred. We then converted the linear threshold into an elevation mask, formed as a raster data set of 30×30 m cells in ArcMap 8.2 (Environmental Systems Research Institute 2002). Cell values were calculated with the 0.05 quantile regression equation: elevation = $-81.63(\text{latitude}) + 4,474.9$ m. Next, we laid the elevation mask over a digital elevation model of the northeastern United States (U.S. Geological Survey 1999). Summits, ridgelines, and slopes emerged above the mask as a vast complex of high-elevation habitat units. To identify potential Bicknell's Thrush habitat within these units, we mapped conifer-dominated stands. For this, we used forest composition data from the National Land Cover Data set, which classifies 30×30 m cells based on canopy dominance (Vogelmann et al. 2001).

To test model performance, we conducted surveys between 2000 and 2002 on 53 mountains (>800 m in elevation) not surveyed by Atwood et al. (1996). These mountains were scattered throughout the region and were selected based on availability of trails and volunteer observers. On each mountain, we established five survey stations, separated by 200 to 250 horizontal m, in areas dominated by conifers. Routes were designed to include the highest forested areas accessible by trail, often the summit, as well as adjacent ridges and slopes. Where conifer cover was limited, we located survey stations in mixed forests.

Trained technicians and volunteers performed point-count surveys under acceptable weather conditions (no precipitation, temperature $>2^\circ$ C, wind speed <32 km/hr) from 1 to 21 June. Surveys were conducted between 04:00 and 08:00 EDT, usually between 04:30 and 06:30. Observers listened quietly for 5 min, recording the number of Bicknell's Thrushes seen or heard at each station. They also recorded Bicknell's Thrushes seen or heard along the route, between survey stations. Observers who completed the route without detecting Bicknell's Thrush broadcast playbacks at each station on their way back to the starting point. Playbacks consisted of a 3-min, standardized recording of Bicknell's Thrush songs and call notes, followed by 2 min of silent listening. Playbacks were stopped upon first detection of the species.

Observers who completed the playback survey without encountering Bicknell's Thrush conducted follow-up, playback surveys at dusk or dawn before 15 July. This time, playback stations were located at 100-m intervals along the route. If no observations of Bicknell's Thrush were made during the second visit to a given site, the species was presumed to be absent. Observers conducted the full sampling sequence (point counts and up to two playback surveys, as needed) in at least 1 of the 3 years. Follow-up playbacks were not conducted at six locations that were >80 m below the elevation mask. Atwood et al. (1996) surveyed 95 locations below this level without a confirmed encounter with Bicknell's Thrush.

Observers reported incidental encounters with Bicknell's Thrushes on 19 additional mountains not previously surveyed. These observations, made during one or more breeding seasons between 2000 and 2002, were added to the 53 original test routes for a total of 72 independent sample locations (New York: $n = 34$, Vermont: $n = 19$, New Hampshire: $n = 16$, Maine: $n = 3$). Twenty-one of the 72 locations were within 50 vertical m of the elevation mask. Also during 2000–2002, with the same combination of systematic surveys and incidental sightings, we recorded the presence or presumed absence of Bicknell's Thrush on 130 mountains first sampled by Atwood et al. (1996) (New York: $n = 30$, Vermont: $n = 56$, New Hampshire: $n = 26$, Maine: $n = 18$). Nineteen of 130 resampled locations occurred within 50 vertical m of the elevation mask. For model assessment, we used one elevation and one latitude value for each sample unit (1-km survey route or site of incidental encounter). At locations where Bicknell's Thrush was present, we calculated average elevation and latitude values based on all points of encounter. Where the species was not encountered, we calculated averages from the five survey stations.

We entered presence-absence data from new and resampled locations into separate error matrices (Table 1) and calculated a variety of accuracy measures (after Fielding and Bell 1997), including correct classification rate, sensitivity (proportion of true positives correctly predicted), specificity (proportion of true negatives correctly predicted), false pos-

TABLE 1. Error matrices for new Bicknell's Thrush survey locations and for resampled locations (first surveyed by Atwood et al. 1996), from 2000–2002 surveys.

| Matrix | | Observed present | Observed absent |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| New locations | Predicted present | 56 | 10 |
| | Predicted absent | 1 | 5 |
| Resampled locations | Predicted present | 114 | 5 |
| | Predicted absent | 1 | 10 |

itive rate, false negative rate, positive predictive power, and negative predictive power. We also calculated prevalence, the proportion of locations at which Bicknell's Thrush was present. This variable affects the predictive power of species distribution models (Fielding and Bell 1997, Manel et al. 2001). Finally, we calculated Cohen's kappa, a statistic that measures the proportion of specific agreement after accounting for prevalence.

RESULTS

Survey results from Atwood et al. (1996) show a strong, linear relationship between latitude and the lowest elevations occupied by

Bicknell's Thrush (Fig. 1). The lower limit of the species' distribution, as estimated by the 0.05 quantile regression, descends 81.63 m for every one-degree increase in latitude ($\beta_1 = -81.63$, 95% CI = -112.08 to -38.13 ; $\beta_0 = 4,474.86$, 95% CI = 729.50 to $5,753.27$). The regression slope differed significantly from zero ($H_0: \beta_1 = 0$) for this quantile (quantile rankscore test, $P < 0.001$).

The elevation mask, developed in GIS from the 0.05 quantile regression, covers areas as high as 1,045 m in the Catskills (42°N). In northern Maine (46.3°N), areas as low as 695 m emerge above the mask. Throughout the region, 720 distinct land units occur above the




FIG. 1. Elevation and latitude of locations where Bicknell's Thrush (BITH) was detected ($n = 234$) and not detected ($n = 209$) during 1992–1995 surveys in the northeastern United States. Line is 0.05 quantile regression estimate of elevation as a linear function of latitude, incorporating only locations where Bicknell's Thrush was detected: $\text{elevation} = -81.63 (\text{latitude}) + 4474.9 \text{ m}$.




FIG. 2. Predicted distribution of Bicknell's Thrush in the northeastern United States. Shaded area represents conifer forest (Vogelmann et al. 2001) above the model's elevation mask.

mask and contain 136,250 ha of conifer-dominated forest (Fig. 2), nearly all of which (99.7%) occurs in 387 units containing at least 5 ha of conifer—an amount sufficient to contain the average home range of a male Bicknell's Thrush (4.5 ha; Rimmer et al. 2001a). The average extent of conifer forest within the 387 units is 351.0 ha \pm 56.8 SE, with highest values occurring in the White Mountains of New Hampshire and in the High Peaks region of New York's Adirondack Mountains. Of all states, New Hampshire has the most potential Bicknell's Thrush breeding habitat (59,024 ha; 43.4%), followed by Maine (33,662 ha; 24.7%), New York (31,985 ha; 23.5%), and Vermont (11,580 ha; 8.5%).

The Bicknell's Thrush distribution model correctly classified 61 of 72 locations (84.7%) that had never been surveyed for this species (Fig. 3, Table 2). Fifty-six of 57 occupied locations (98.2%) were correctly classified, compared with just 5 out of 15 (33.3%) unoccupied locations. Locations within 50 ver-

tical m of the elevation mask accounted for both errors of omission (false negatives) and 9 out of 10 errors of commission (false positives). The average, vertical deviation of misclassified locations from the elevation mask was 28.2 m \pm 5.2 SE. When the 21 locations within 50 m of the elevation mask were excluded from the analysis, 51 of 52 locations (98.1%) were correctly classified.

The model correctly classified 124 of 130 locations (95.4%) first surveyed by Atwood et al. (1996). Four of the six errors occurred within 50 m of the elevation mask. When all new ($n = 72$) and resampled ($n = 130$) sites were combined, the model correctly classified 185 of 202 (91.6%) locations. Classification accuracy >50 m above and below the elevation mask was 98.8%, with 160 of 162 locations correctly classified.

Prevalence of Bicknell's Thrush was high among new locations (0.792) and resampled locations (0.877; Table 2). Cohen's kappa, which accounts for prevalence, measured




FIG. 3. Elevation and latitude of locations where Bicknell's Thrush (BITH) was detected ($n = 172$) and not detected ($n = 30$) during 2000–2002 surveys in the northeastern United States, shown in relation to elevation mask. Large circles represent new survey locations ($n = 72$); small circles represent locations first surveyed by Atwood et al. (1996) and resampled for this study ($n = 130$).

0.405 among new routes and 0.745 among resampled routes. Values of 0.4–0.6 indicate moderate model performance. Higher values (up to 1.0) are achieved when model performance ranges from substantial to perfect (after Landis and Koch 1977).

TABLE 2. Accuracy measures for Bicknell's Thrush distribution model. Values are calculated after Fielding and Bell (1997) with data from 72 new locations and from 130 (resampled) locations (2000–2002) first surveyed by Atwood et al. (1996).

| | New locations | Resampled locations |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Correct classification rate | 0.847 | 0.954 |
| Sensitivity | 0.982 | 0.991 |
| Specificity | 0.333 | 0.667 |
| False positive rate ^a | 0.667 | 0.333 |
| False negative rate ^b | 0.018 | 0.009 |
| Positive predictive power | 0.848 | 0.958 |
| Negative predictive power | 0.833 | 0.909 |
| Prevalence | 0.792 | 0.877 |
| Kappa | 0.405 | 0.745 |

^a Rate of commission error.
^b Rate of omission error.

DISCUSSION

The slope of the latitude-elevation relationship for Bicknell's Thrush occurrence (-81.63 m/1° latitude) is nearly identical to the latitude-elevation relationship for treeline in the northern Appalachian Mountains (-83 m/1° latitude); it also resembles that of the spruce-fir/deciduous forest ecotone (-100 m/1° latitude; Cogbill and White 1991). The similarity in these slopes and the known association of Bicknell's Thrush with naturally disturbed forest stands suggest that the same factors governing stratification of mountain forest types regulate the availability of suitable habitat for Bicknell's Thrush. On a local scale, these include topography (slope shape, slope position, steepness, and aspect), substrate, and disturbance (Cogbill and White 1991). At regional and continental scales, temperature appears to be the primary, controlling factor (Wolfe 1979).

Cogbill and White (1991) found that the lower and upper spruce-fir ecotones were correlated with mean July temperatures of ap-

proximately 17° C and 13° C, respectively. If a warming climate were to elevate these isotherms, an upslope advance of hardwoods, and a corresponding loss of Bicknell's Thrush habitat, might be expected. Tree species distribution models project a major loss or extirpation of balsam fir habitat from the Northeast in four out of five climate change scenarios (Iverson and Prasad 2002). However, damage to hardwoods from ice- and snow-loading could moderate effects of climate change on forest composition at high elevations. The balsam fir's conical form allows it to shed snow more effectively than broad-branching hardwoods (Nykänen et al. 1997). Steep slopes might also provide refugia for balsam fir, which readily establishes in shallow, mineral soils (Frank 1990). Nevertheless, the persistence of Bicknell's Thrush in the Northeast may depend upon its ability to adapt to changing forest conditions.

A warming climate could enable mountaintop encroachment from species believed to be restricted to lower elevations by colder temperatures, including both a potential competitor of Bicknell's Thrush and a known pest of balsam fir. Swainson's Thrush (*Catharus ustulatus*) is a potential competitor (Noon 1981) whose distribution overlaps the lower reaches of Bicknell's Thrush habitat (Able and Noon 1976). A rise in summer temperatures could reduce separation between the two species by nullifying Bicknell's Thrush's greater tolerance for cold, considered by Holmes and Sawyer (1975) to confer a thermoregulatory advantage. Balsam woolly adelgid (*Adelges pi-ccae*) is an exotic pest introduced from central Europe. It is currently controlled in the Northeast by cold winter temperatures, but has decimated stands of balsam fir in the southern Appalachians (Iverson et al. 1999).

The mechanisms by which a warming climate might affect Neotropical migrants are numerous and largely unpredictable, although even small changes could have far-reaching effects on productivity and survivorship (Rodenhouse 1992). Susceptibility to extinction is high for species like Bicknell's Thrush that occupy restricted and patchy habitat within small ranges (Huntley et al. 1997). In recent decades, extirpations of Bicknell's Thrush have occurred at coastal locations in Canada (Tufts 1986, Christie 1993, Nixon 1999) and

along the southern periphery of the species' breeding range (Atwood et al. 1996, Lambert et al. 2001). Although there is no evidence for a link to climate change, the observed pattern is consistent with range shifts attributed to global warming in other animal species (Parmesan and Yohe 2003, Root et al. 2003). Our model of Bicknell's Thrush habitat provides the opportunity to predict changes in the species' distribution under different climatic conditions. Information gained through this exercise might be used to develop strategies to mitigate anticipated habitat loss.

Overall, the distribution model achieved high measures of classification accuracy, positive predictive power, and negative predictive power (Table 2). However, such levels can be achieved by chance alone where the prevalence of a species is high (Olden et al. 2002), as it was in this study. Cohen's kappa provides a measure of improvement over chance that places prediction success in perspective (Fielding and Bell 1997, Manel et al. 2001). The kappa values we calculated for new routes (0.406) and resampled routes (0.745) correspond with moderate and substantial model performance, respectively. An improved test of the model, including low and middle elevations, would almost certainly yield higher kappa values because more locations would be correctly classified as unoccupied. By concentrating sampling effort at high elevations, we limited the interpretive value of this statistic.

The model's predictive success was nearly perfect at locations >50 m above or below the elevation mask (Fig. 3). By comparison, error rates were high within 50 m of the mask, where hardwoods become scarce and conifers achieve dominance. Able and Noon (1976) described this band as a principal distributional limit for songbirds on northeastern mountains and measured its breadth as approximately 100 m in the Adirondack and Green mountains. Cogbill and White (1991) provided a similar measure (87 m) for the average breadth of the deciduous forest/spruce-fir ecotone in the Adirondack and northern Appalachian mountains. Our findings are consistent with these measures and verify this boundary as an important factor in organizing avian community structure across four degrees of latitude.

Low densities of Bicknell's Thrush may have reduced detectability at some locations, particularly during silent counts (Penteriani et al. 2002). Even playbacks can fail to elicit detectable responses from Bicknell's Thrush (Nixon et al. 2001), which may exhibit agonistic postures in dense vegetation rather than vocalize (Noon 1981). Indeed, the failure to detect Bicknell's Thrush at many apparently suitable sites during the 1990s may indicate sampling error. Such error could have resulted from limited sampling (a single visit to 80 locations) and a relatively loose timeframe for broadcasts ("usually within three hours of sunrise or sunset"; Atwood et al. 1996). The possibility of error during model testing (2000–2002) was reduced by multiple visits and strict broadcast guidelines. The higher frequency of detection above the elevation mask, compared with the results of Atwood et al. (1996), provides evidence of improved methodology.

Accuracy rates vary widely among habitat-relationship models that have been tested for songbirds (e.g., 20–33%, Bart et al. 1984; 60–90%, Rice et al. 1986; 53–93%, Kilgo et al. 2002). Models constructed for habitat specialists are more likely to generate accurate predictions than those developed for generalists (Kilgo et al. 2002). This presents conservation planning opportunities for rare species with narrow habitat requirements, like Bicknell's Thrush. Our model of Bicknell's Thrush distribution can be used as a practical tool to guide research, stewardship, and land protection initiatives in the mountains of New York and northern New England. Specific applications include: identification of monitoring and research sites, reserve design, recreational planning, regulatory review and impact assessment (as for tower construction or ski area expansion), and assignment of management responsibility to specific landowners.

To evaluate tradeoffs in each of these applications, it is important to consider the significance of model error. In general, excessive commission error may result in undue expenditure of limited resources at marginal sites, while excessive omission error may result in failure to identify important, occupied sites. Fortunately, GIS provides the flexibility to adjust the Bicknell's Thrush elevation mask to achieve an acceptable ratio between these two

types of error. Such adjustments can be made according to project resources and objectives. For example, a risk-averse strategy to protect Bicknell's Thrush habitat might lower the elevation mask to identify all potential breeding areas, including those along the lower spruce-fir ecotone. Though sparsely populated by Bicknell's Thrush, this zone is extensive in mountainous landscapes and could contribute substantially to overall numbers (Hale 2001). A research initiative seeking to maximize encounters with the species might take a more selective approach and raise the mask.

For projects that seek information on the status of Bicknell's Thrush at sites within 50 m of the elevation mask, we recommend the use of playback surveys in June and early July. Six or more visits may be required to detect all individuals in a given year (Nixon et al. 2001). If initial attempts to verify presence fail, additional effort is advised in at least 2 successive years or until presence is confirmed. Repeat surveys will reduce errors associated with low density (i.e., low detectability) and irregular occupancy of marginal sites. Our own repeat surveys confirm their value. Since 2003, we have observed Bicknell's Thrush at 6 of 15 locations where it was predicted to occur, but was not detected during model assessment (Vermont Institute of Natural Science [VINS] unpubl. data).

The model's estimate of Bicknell's Thrush habitat in the Northeast (136,250 ha) falls within the previously published range of values derived from land cover and land area above the 915-m contour line (100,000 to 150,000 ha; Atwood et al. 1996). However, the addition of latitude as a variable eliminates areas in southern portions of the range once thought suitable for Bicknell's Thrush and adds sites at northern latitudes once considered too low. Despite this important advance, the model does not distinguish early- to mid-successional or stunted forests from tall stands, which are of lesser importance to the species. Extensive surveys (Noon 1981, Hale 2001; VINS unpubl. data) and intensive, radio-telemetry studies (VINS unpubl. data) indicate that Bicknell's Thrushes make little use of large patches of mature, montane conifer that lack well-developed shrub and subcanopy layers. Nonetheless, such stands may be just an ice storm, fir wave, or hurricane away from

developing the structural characteristics of suitable habitat. Likewise, the habitat value of a young forest sheltered from disturbance may diminish over time.

Conservation and mitigation strategies should recognize that the location of suitable habitat patches shifts due to the dynamic nature of forests at high elevations. Rather than focus at the stand level, a prudent long-range approach would treat the entire unmasked area as the management unit. Such an approach would benefit other species that nest in montane forests of the Northeast, such as Black-backed Woodpecker (*Picoides arcticus*), Yellow-bellied Flycatcher (*Empidonax flaviventris*), Blackpoll Warbler (*Dendroica striata*), and White-winged Crossbill (*Loxia leucoptera*).

We advise caution in the application of this model north of 45°N latitude. Unmasked areas in this region include >40,000 ha of managed timberland in Maine (VINS unpubl. data), some of which occurs as mixed, regenerating forest. The Canadian Wildlife Service has documented use of this forest type by breeding Bicknell's Thrushes in highland regions of Québec (Y. Aubry pers. comm.), New Brunswick (Nixon 1996), and Nova Scotia (D. Busby pers. comm.). Furthermore, model testing in northern Maine was limited, allowing for the possibility that Bicknell's Thrush occurs at lower elevations than predicted by the model. Such a possibility is supported by Wolfe's (1979) treeline model, which slopes gradually from 20°N to about 45°N and then begins to steepen. Cogbill and White's (1991) models of Appalachian Mountain ecotones maintain their linear shape until about 47°N, where the relationship between elevation and the spruce-fir/deciduous ecotone changes to a steeper slope. Records of Bicknell's Thrush at low elevations in Québec (175–1,160 m; Ouellet 1993), New Brunswick (450–700 m; Nixon et al. 2001), and Nova Scotia (<175 m; D. Busby pers. comm.) underscore the need for further model testing in northern Maine.

The absence of evaluation sites below the mask in the Catskills (42.0–42.5°N) is of less concern. We are confident that the model is sufficiently inclusive in this area, since it captures virtually all of the region's upland spruce-fir.

Recently developed and evolving modeling techniques will enable construction of regional models of habitat importance for Bicknell's Thrush, based on topographic and lithographic features (Banner 2002), remotely sensed forest physiognomy (Hale 2001), and/or landscape structure (Hale 2001, Lambert et al. 2002). Incorporation of abundance data into more sophisticated models will permit reasonable estimates of population size and provide a benchmark for establishing range-wide population objectives. However, construction and validation of such models will require considerable time and resources. Though basic in its parameters and predictions, the current model is accurate and effective for most applications. It is built from elevation and land cover data that are widely available, inexpensive, consistent across state boundaries, and easily updated. Furthermore, it depicts habitat over a major portion of the species' range. Together, these qualities make it a practical tool for conservation planning.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the scores of dedicated volunteers who helped with fieldwork. They were recruited with assistance from the Adirondack Mountain Club, Appalachian Mountain Club, Audubon New York, Green Mountain Club, Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, Wildlife Conservation Society, and Wonalancet Outdoor Club. We also thank our research assistants: N. K. Banfield, C. P. Dugan, M. Gaige, C. Rabatin, and S. A. Schulte. We are grateful for site access provided by the Carthusian Monastery, Essex Timber Company, Green Mountain Club, Maine Department of Environmental Conservation, Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, National Park Service, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, The Nature Conservancy, Stowe Mountain Resort, Stratton Mountain Resort, U.S. Forest Service, University of Vermont, and Vermont Agency of Natural Resources. We thank the New York State Breeding Bird Atlas for sharing Bicknell's Thrush site records. J. D. Schlagel and A. Toepfer provided technical assistance with the habitat model. We are grateful to B. S. Cade for conducting the quantile regression analysis. We also thank D. Busby, B. S. Cade, J. E. Goetz, S. R. Hale, J. Jones, R. Renfrew, C. A. Ribic, and an anonymous reviewer for helpful comments on earlier drafts of the manuscript. Funding for this project was provided by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, with additional support from the trustees and members of the Vermont Institute of Natural Science.

LITERATURE CITED

- ABLE, K. P. AND E. R. NOON. 1976. Avian community structure along elevational gradients in the north-eastern United States. *Oecologia* 26:275-294.
- AMERICAN ORNITHOLOGISTS' UNION. 1995. Fortieth supplement to the American Ornithologists' Union check-list of North American birds. *Auk* 112:819-830.
- ATWOOD, J. L., C. C. RIMMER, K. P. MCFARLAND, S. H. TSAI, AND L. R. NAGY. 1996. Distribution of Bicknell's Thrush in New England and New York. *Wilson Bulletin* 108:650-661.
- BANNER, A. 2002. Cookbook: methods for mapping fish and wildlife habitat in multi-state study areas in USFWS Region 5. Unpublished report, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Falmouth, Maine.
- BART, J., D. R. PETTIT, AND G. LINScombe. 1984. Field evaluation of two models developed following the habitat evaluation procedures. *Transactions of the North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference* 49:489-499.
- BIRDLIFE INTERNATIONAL. 2000. Threatened birds of the world. BirdLife International, Cambridge, United Kingdom and Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, Spain.
- CADE, B. S. AND E. R. NOON. 2003. A gentle introduction to quantile regression for ecologists. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 1:412-420.
- CHRISTIE, D. S. 1993. Survey of breeding birds in Fundy National Park, 1992. Contract Report, no. FNP92-004, Canadian Parks Service, Alma, New Brunswick.
- COGBILL, C. V. AND P. S. WHITE. 1991. The latitude-elevation relationship for spruce-fir forest and treeline along the Appalachian Mountain chain. *Vegetatio* 94:153-175.
- CONNOLLY, V. 2000. Characterization and classification of Bicknell's Thrush (*Catharus bicknelli*) habitat in the Estrie region. M.Sc. thesis, McGill University, Montréal, Canada.
- CONNOLLY, V., G. SEUTIN, J.-P. L. SAVARD, AND G. ROMPRÉ. 2002. Habitat use by Bicknell's Thrush in the Estrie Region, Québec. *Wilson Bulletin* 114:333-341.
- ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS RESEARCH INSTITUTE. 2002. ArcMap GIS, ver. 8.2. Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc., Redlands, California.
- ERSKINE, A. J. 1992. Atlas of the breeding birds of the Maritime provinces. Nova Scotia Museum, Halifax, Canada.
- FIELDING, A. H. AND J. F. BELL. 1997. A review of methods for the assessment of prediction errors in conservation presence/absence models. *Environmental Conservation* 24:38-49.
- FRANK, R. M. 1990. *Abies balsamea* (L.) Mill., balsam fir. Pages 26-35 in *Silvics of North America*, vol. 1. conifers (R. M. Burns and B. H. Honkala, Tech. Coords.). Agriculture Handbook, no. 654, USDA Forest Service, Washington, D.C.
- GAUTHIER, J. AND Y. AUBRY (Eds.). 1996. The breeding birds of Québec. Province of Québec Society for the Protection of Birds and the Canadian Wildlife Service, Québec Region, Montréal, Canada.
- HALE, S. R. 2001. Using satellite remote sensing to model and map the distribution of Bicknell's Thrush (*Catharus bicknelli*) in the White Mountains of New Hampshire. Ph.D. dissertation, University of New Hampshire, Durham.
- HOLMES, R. T. AND R. H. SAWYER. 1975. Oxygen consumption in relation to ambient temperature in five species of forest-dwelling thrushes (*Hylocichla* and *Catharus*). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology* 50A:527-531.
- HUNTLEY, B., W. P. CRAMER, A. V. MORGAN, H. C. PRENTICE, AND J. R. M. ALLEN (Eds.). 1997. Past and future rapid environmental changes: the spatial and evolutionary responses of terrestrial biota. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- IVERSON, L. R. AND A. M. PRASAD. 2002. Potential redistribution of tree species habitat under five climate change scenarios in the eastern U.S. *Forest Ecology and Management* 155:205-222.
- IVERSON, L. R., A. M. PRASAD, B. J. HALE, AND E. K. SUTHERLAND. 1999. Atlas of current and potential future distributions of common trees of the eastern United States. General Technical Report NE-265, USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station, Radnor, Pennsylvania.
- KILGO, J. C., D. L. GARTNER, B. R. CHAPMAN, J. B. DUNNING, JR., K. E. FRANZKE, S. A. GAUTHIER, C. H. GREENBERG, D. J. LEVEY, K. V. MILLER, AND S. F. PEARSON. 2002. A test of an expert-based bird-habitat relationship model in South Carolina. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 30:783-793.
- LAMBERT, J. D., S. D. FACCIO, AND B. HANSCOM. 2002. Mountain birdwatch: 2001 final report to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Unpublished report, Vermont Institute of Natural Science, Woodstock, Vermont, www.vinsweb.org/assets/pdf/2001report.pdf.
- LAMBERT, J. D., K. P. MCFARLAND, C. C. RIMMER, AND S. D. FACCIO. 2001. Mountain birdwatch 2000: final report to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Unpublished report, Vermont Institute of Natural Science, Woodstock, Vermont, www.vinsweb.org/assets/pdf/2000report.pdf.
- LANDIS, J. R. AND G. G. KOCH. 1977. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics* 33:159-174.
- MANEL, S., H. C. WILLIAMS, AND S. J. ORMEROD. 2001. Evaluating presence-absence models in ecology: the need to account for prevalence. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 38:921-931.
- NIXON, E. A. 1996. A distribution survey of Bicknell's Thrush (*Catharus bicknelli*) in New Brunswick. Unpublished report, Canadian Wildlife Service and Canadian Forest Service, Sackville, New Brunswick and Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario.
- NIXON, E. A. 1999. Status report on Bicknell's Thrush (*Catharus bicknelli*) in Canada. Unpublished report, Environment Canada, Ottawa, Canada.

- NIKON, E. A., S. B. HOLMES, AND A. W. DIAMOND. 2001. Bicknell's Thrushes (*Catharus bicknelli*) in New Brunswick clear cuts: their habitat associations and co-occurrence with Swainson's Thrushes (*Catharus ustulatus*). *Wilson Bulletin* 113:33–40.
- NOON, E. R. 1981. The distribution of an avian guild along a temperate elevational gradient: the importance and expression of competition. *Ecological Monographs* 51:105–124.
- NYKANEN, M.-L., H. PELTOLA, C. QUINE, S. KELLOMÄKI, AND M. BROADGATE. 1997. Factors affecting snow damage of trees with particular reference to European conditions. *Silva Fennica* 31:193–213.
- OLDEN, J. D., D. A. JACKSON, AND P. R. PERES-NETO. 2002. Predictive models of fish species distributions: a note on proper validation and chance predictions. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 131:329–336.
- OUELLET, H. 1993. Bicknell's Thrush: taxonomic status and distribution. *Wilson Bulletin* 105:545–572.
- PARMESAN, C. AND G. YOHE. 2003. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature* 421:37–42.
- PASHLEY, D. N., C. J. BEARDMORE, J. A. FITZGERALD, R. P. FORD, W. C. HUNTER, M. S. MORRISON, AND K. V. ROSENBERG. 2000. Partners in Flight: conservation of the land birds of the United States. American Bird Conservancy, The Plains, Virginia.
- PENTERIANI, V., M. GALLARDO, AND H. CAZASSUS. 2002. Conspecific density biases passive auditory surveys. *Journal of Field Ornithology* 73:387–391.
- PIERCE-BERRIN, C. 2001. Distribution and habitat selection of Bicknell's Thrush (*Catharus bicknelli*) in the Catskill Mountains of New York State. M.Sc. thesis, Antioch New England Graduate School, Keene, New Hampshire.
- REINERS, W. A. AND G. E. LANG. 1979. Vegetational patterns and processes in the balsam fir zone, White Mountains, New Hampshire. *Ecology* 60:403–417.
- RICE, J. C., R. D. OHMART, AND B. W. ANDERSON. 1986. Limits in a data-rich model: model experience with habitat management on the Colorado River. Pages 79–86 in *Wildlife 2000: modeling habitat relationships of terrestrial vertebrates* (J. Verner, M. L. Morrison, and C. J. Ralph, Eds.). University of Wisconsin Press, Madison.
- RIMMER, C. C., K. P. MCFARLAND, W. G. ELLISON, AND J. E. GOETZ. 2001a. Bicknell's Thrush (*Catharus bicknelli*). *The Birds of North America*, no. 592.
- RIMMER, C. C., K. P. MCFARLAND, AND J. D. LAMBERT. 2001b. Bicknell's Thrush (*Catharus bicknelli*) conservation assessment. Unpublished report, Vermont Institute of Natural Science, Woodstock, Vermont.
- RODENHOUSE, N. L. 1992. Potential effects of climatic change on a Neotropical migrant landbird. *Conservation Biology* 6:263–272.
- ROMPRÉ, G., V. CONNOLLY, Y. AUBRY, J.-P. SAVARD, AND G. SEUTIN. 1999. Répartition, abondance et préférences écologiques de la Grive de Bicknell (*Catharus bicknelli*) au Québec. Rapport technique, Service Canadien de la Faune, Québec, Canada.
- ROOT, T. L., J. T. PRICE, K. R. HALL, S. H. SCHNEIDER, C. ROSENZWEIG, AND J. A. POUNDS. 2003. Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and plants. *Nature* 421:57–60.
- SABO, S. R. 1980. Niche and habitat relations in subalpine bird communities of the White Mountains of New Hampshire. *Ecological Monographs* 50:241–259.
- SPRUGEL, D. G. 1976. Dynamic structure of wave-regenerated *Abies balsamea* forests in the north-eastern United States. *Journal of Ecology* 64:889–911.
- TUFTS, R. W. 1986. *Birds of Nova Scotia*, 3rd ed. Nova Scotia Museum, Halifax, Canada.
- U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY. 1999. National elevation dataset. Earth Science Information Center, Sioux Falls, South Dakota.
- VOGELMANN, J. E., S. M. HOWARD, L. YANG, C. R. LARSON, B. K. WYLIE, AND N. VAN DRIEL. 2001. Completion of the 1990s National Land Cover Data set for the conterminous United States from Landsat Thematic Mapper data and ancillary data sources. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 67:650–662.
- WOLFE, J. A. 1979. Temperature parameters of humid to mesic forests of eastern Asia and relation to forests of other regions of the Northern Hemisphere and Australasia. Geological Survey Professional Paper, no. 1106, U.S. Geological Survey, Washington, D.C.